

28 February 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Extra Leave - Hostile Area Service

1. P.L. 89-735 authorized 30 days of leave exclusive of travel time and transportation for a member of the Armed Forces extending his tour of duty in a hostile area for at least six months. The cutoff date under the amendment was 30 June 1968.
2. H.R. 15348 would make this authority permanent by deleting the 30 June 1968 cutoff date.
3. Copies of H.R. 15348 have been sent to Director of Personnel, Clint Fielder (ad hoc committee on administrative authorities) and MMPD.
4. Although the provisions of H.R. 15348 would most likely not be available for consideration for adoption as "administrative authorities" because it applies to military and not civilian personnel, I contacted  Chief Support, FE Division, to determine whether the availability of leave to our people in Vietnam was a problem in taking advantage of the family visitation travel authorities contained in P.L. 90-221.  indicated that the availability of accumulated leave poses no problems and that even employees in the less than three years leave category have excess compensatory time available for use in connection with visitation travel.

SIGNED

Office of Legislative Counsel

25X1A

Distribution:

Orig. - Subj. (Admin authority)

1  
1

1 - Chrono

OLC/LLM:rw (4 March 1968)

**CONFIDENTIAL**

27 February 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

1. In response to his request, I met with Representative B. F. Sisk (D., Calif.) and his Assistant, Mr. Tony Coelho, concerning the [REDACTED] case. Congressman Sisk described [REDACTED] as being from a very old California, [REDACTED]. It appears that he had been advised by [REDACTED] concerning her problems with the Agency, but apparently only recently had he received a communication from [REDACTED].

2. At Mr. Sisk's request, I reviewed [REDACTED] employment from memory. On completion, Mr. Sisk advised that the letter he had received from [REDACTED] is substantially in accord with my statements. He did have several interesting points, however, which he pressed somewhat vigorously:

why the problems of re-assignment in this case;  
whether down-grading had been considered in possible employment elsewhere in the Agency after completion of the training period;  
whether the general circumstances relating to her transfer from [REDACTED] could have caused her resignation because of failure to undertake an overseas assignment;  
the ostensible offer of a position in another branch [REDACTED] which STATSPEC did not materialize;  
the effect of Civil Service regulations on Agency employment;  
the availability of [REDACTED] personal file for his review.

Each item was discussed to Mr. Sisk's apparent satisfaction.

3. It would appear that Mr. Sisk was attempting to identify an issue that could provide a basis for requesting reconsideration of the

**CONFIDENTIAL**

case and possible reemployment for [redacted] (He did not make such a request at any time in the meeting.)

25X1A

4. At the conclusion of the meeting Congressman Sisk expressed his appreciation for the time expended in coming by to see him and noted that cases such as this are most difficult. He noted in passing that he believes [redacted] is employed at present. He made no request for further advice or information.

25X1A

5. Subject to possible further correspondence from Miss [redacted] it does not appear that Congressman Sisk will require anything further from the Agency.

25X1A

[redacted]  
Assistant Legislative Counsel

25X1A

**Distribution:**

Orig. - Subj.

1 - IG

1 - D/Pers

1 - D [redacted]

1 - Chrono

1 - Signer's

25X1A

OLC/JGO:cap (5 March 1968)